

*NOTICE: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Board volumes of NLRB decisions. Readers are requested to notify the Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board, Washington, D.C. 20570, of any typographical or other formal errors so that corrections can be included in the bound volumes.*

**J.P. Transportation Co., Inc. and Teamsters Local Union No. 836, affiliated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO.** Case 9-CA-34932

July 8, 1997

**DECISION AND ORDER**

BY CHAIRMAN GOULD AND MEMBERS FOX AND HIGGINS

Pursuant to a charge filed on May 21, 1997, the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board issued a complaint on May 23, 1997, alleging that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the National Labor Relations Act by refusing the Union's request to bargain following the Union's certification in Case 9-RC-16819. (Official notice is taken of the "record" in the representation proceeding as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(g); *Frontier Hotel*, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed an answer admitting in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint.

On June 3, 1997, the General Counsel filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. On June 5, 1997, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent did not file a response.

**Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment**

In its answer the Respondent admits its refusal to bargain, but attacks the validity of the certification on the basis of its objections to the election in the representation proceeding.

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding. We therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See *Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB*, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941). Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judgment.

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

**I. JURISDICTION**

At all material times, the Respondent, a corporation with a facility in Middleton, Ohio, has been engaged in the transportation of freight. During the 12-month period preceding issuance of the complaint, the Respondent in conducting its business operations described above, derived gross revenues in excess of \$50,000 for the transportation of freight from points within the State of Ohio directly to points outside the State of Ohio. We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

**II. ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES**

**A. The Certification**

Following the election held January 8, 1997, the Union was certified on May 6, 1997, as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All mechanics and local and over-the-road drivers employed at or dispatched out of the Employer's 2518 Oxford State Road, Middleton, Ohio, facility, but excluding all dispatchers, truck masters, office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

The Union continues to be the exclusive representative under Section 9(a) of the Act.

**B. Refusal to Bargain**

Since May 14, 1997, on receipt of the certification referred to above, the Respondent, by letter, notified the Union that it would not recognize and bargain with the Union. We find that this refusal constitutes an unlawful refusal to bargain in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

**CONCLUSION OF LAW**

By refusing on and after May 14, 1997, to bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of employees in the appropriate unit, the Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

**REMEDY**

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union, and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement.

To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by the law, we shall construe the initial period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. *Mar-Jac Poultry Co.*, 136 NLRB 785 (1962); *Lamar Hotel*, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964); *Burnett Construction Co.*, 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965).

### ORDER

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, J.P. Transportation Co., Inc., Middleton, Ohio, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

1. Cease and desist from

(a) Refusing to bargain with Teamsters Local Union No. 836, affiliated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO, as the exclusive bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

(b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.

2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.

(a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit on terms and conditions of employment, and if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:

All mechanics and local and over-the-road drivers employed at or dispatched out of the Employer's 2518 Oxford State Road, Middleton, Ohio, facility, but excluding all dispatchers, truck masters, office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

(b) Within 14 days after service by the Region, post at its facility in Middleton, Ohio, copies of the attached notice marked "Appendix."<sup>1</sup> Copies of the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 9 after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted by the Respondent and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material. In the event that, during the pendency of these proceedings, the Respondent has gone out of business or closed the facility involved in these pro-

ceedings, the Respondent shall duplicate and mail, at its own expense, a copy of the notice to all current employees and former employees employed by the Respondent at any time since May 21, 1997.

(c) Within 21 days after service by the Region, file with the Regional Director a sworn certification of a responsible official on a form provided by the Region attesting to the steps that the Respondent has taken to comply.

Dated, Washington, D.C. July 8, 1997

---

William B. Gould IV, Chairman

---

Sarah M. Fox, Member

---

John E. Higgins, Jr., Member

(SEAL) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

### APPENDIX

#### NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES

#### POSTED BY ORDER OF THE

#### NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

#### An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated the National Labor Relations Act and has ordered us to post and abide by this notice.

WE WILL NOT refuse to bargain with Teamsters Local Union No. 836, affiliated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, AFL-CIO, as the exclusive representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights guaranteed you by Section 7 of the Act.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the bargaining unit:

All mechanics and local and over-the-road drivers employed at or dispatched out of our 2518 Oxford State Road, Middleton, Ohio, facility, but excluding all dispatchers, truck masters, office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors as defined in the Act.

J.P. TRANSPORTATION CO., INC.

<sup>1</sup> If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading "Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."